# Practical Elements of Database Design

#### NCIC CTG Course for New Investigators August 9-12, 2011

# **Learning Objectives**

At the end of the session the participant should be able to:

- identify principles of database design
- understand linkage between protocol and publication
- understand data element requirements
- be familiar with challenges and solutions in database design

NCIC CTG

GEC NCIC

### **Goals of CRF/Database Design**

- Ensure that information from primary source records are recorded in a manner that allows for accurate processing, analysis, interpretation, reporting/ publication
  - Eligibility, treatment, AEs, endpoints
- Fulfills data collection requirements for regulatory and compliance purposes
- May also facilitate data exchange (metaanalysis)

#### **Types of data collection**

- Prospective clinical trial with predefined data collection
- Retrospective data abstraction for a defined research project

#### **Case Report Forms/Database**

- CRF is a tool to collect data
- May be electronic <u>or</u> paper based
- Standardized recording of information over time to produce robust, accurate, reproducible results
- Database is only as good as the quality of collected data!

# Design Principle #1: Protocol to Publication

- <u>Consider content of the final publication</u> at the time the protocol is being written
- Data to be collected in support of objectives should be described in the study protocol
- Data specified in protocol should then be collected on the CRF



#### **Example: Published Baseline data in Melanoma RCT**

#### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.\*

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.*					
Variable	Ipilimumab plus gp100 (N=403)	Ipilimumab Alone (N=137)	gp100 Alone (N=136)	Total (N = 676)	
Mean age — yr	55.6	56.8	57.4	56.2	
Sex — no. (%)					
Male	247 (61.3)	81 (59.1)	73 (53.7)	401 (59.3)	
Female	156 (38.7)	56 (40.9)	63 (46.3)	275 (40.7)	
ECOG performance status — no. (%)†					
0	232 (57.6)	72 (52.6)	70 (51.5)	374 (55.3)	
1	166 (41.2)	64 (46.7)	61 (44.9)	291 (43.0)	
2	4 (1.0)	1 (0.7)	4 (2.9)	9 (1.3)	
3	1 (0.2)	0	0	1 (0.1)	
Unknown	0	0	1 (0.7)	1 (0.1)	
M stage — no. (%)‡					
M0	5 (1.2)	1 (0.7)	4 (2.9)	10 (1.5)	
Mla	37 (9.2)	14 (10.2)	11 (8.1)	62 (9.2)	
M1b	76 (18.9)	22 (16.1)	23 (16.9)	121 (17.9)	
Mlc	285 (70.7)	100 (73.0)	98 (72.1)	483 (71.4)	
Lactate dehydrogenase level — no. (%)					
≤Upper limit of the normal range	252 (62.5)	84 (61.3)	81 (59.6)	417 (61.7)	
>Upper limit of the normal range	149 (37.0)	53 (38.7)	52 (38.2)	254 (37.6)	
Unknown	2 (0.5)	0	3 (2.2)	5 (0.7)	

#### **Example: Published Toxicity Data in CRC RCT**

Table 2. Adverse Events.									
Event		Cetuxim Best Suppo (N=3	ortive Care			est Supporti (N =	ve Care Alor 274)	le	P Value
Grade 3 or higher with an inc	idence of ≥5%	K.o		number	(percent)				
Any adverse event		226 (	78.5)			162 (	(59.1)		<0.001
Edema		15 (	5.2)			16 (	(5.8)		0.85
Fatigue		95 (	33.0)			71 (	(25.9)		0.09
Anorexia		24 (	8.3)			16 (	(5.8)		0.32
Constipation		10 (	3.5)			13 (	(4.7)		0.53
Nausea		16 (	5.6)			15 (	(5.5)		1.00
Vomiting		16 (	5.6)			15 (	(5.5)		1.00
Non-neutropenic infection		37 (	12.8)			15 (	(5.5)		0.003
Confusion		16 (	5.6)			6 (	(2.2)		0.05
Abdominal pain		38 (	13.2)			43 (	(15.7)		0.40
Other pain†		43 (	14.9)			20 (	(7.3)		0.005
Dyspnea		47 (	16.3)			34 (	(12.4)		0.23
Rash		34 (	11.8)			1 (	(0.4)		<0.001
	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 1 (percent)	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	
Other adverse events:					(second)				
Infusion reactions	30 (10.4)	16 (5.6)	8 (2.8)	5 (1.7)	0	0	0	0	< 0.001
Rash	114 (39.6)	107 (37.2)	34 (11.8)	0	32 (11.7)	11 (4.0)	1 (0.4)	0	<0.001
Hypomagnesemia),	95 (36.7)	28 (10.8)	7 (2.7)	8 (3.1)	29 (14.6)	1 (0.5)	0	0	<0.001

# Con't

- Conversely, data that will not be used for analysis *should not be collected* on the CRF (or specified in protocol)
- If data collection is changed during the course of the study, the protocol should be amended

#### **Design Principle #2:** Consider how data will be stored/analysed as CRFs being created

- If you will be creating a database to store/manipulate data abstracted on CRFs, do not make CRFs THEN consult database team or statistician.
- Design data collection/database <u>together</u>
- (Otherwise you will have wasted a lot of time....)

# **Design Principle #3 – Finalize CRFs Early**

- Finalize CRFs prior to study start
- Often the process of creating CRFs will identify gaps, inconsistencies or errors in the protocol
- May require multiple iterations
- "Test" CRFs prior to rollout (for e.g. ask a CRA to see if they make sense)

# **Design Principle #4: Coding**

- <u>Collect "actual" data, rather than coded</u> or interpreted information
- For example:
  - hematology/biochemistry value rather than a grade
  - dates rather than a calculated time interval
  - tumour measurements rather than only a response classification

# **Examples**

NOT this	(answer)	But this	(answer
What is patient age?	58 years	What is date of birth?	Nov 24 1953 (age is actually <b>57</b> )
Worst grade of AST	Grade 3	AST UNL	298 (Grade <b>2</b> ) 40
Best response	Stable disease	Tumour measures	Baseline: 20 mm Cycle 2: 25 mm ( <b>Progressive Disease</b> )

# **Design principle #5:**

**Careful choice of categorical or continuous variables** 

- For categorical variables, consider/allow all possible values for each variable collected, including those that may be rare
  - For ovary histology: serous, clear cell, endometrioid, mucinous....

GEC NCIC

• Some variables may be continuous OR categorical: pros/cons to collecting each:

#### Example: Ovarian Cancer: Residual disease after primary debulking

#### This?

NCIC CTG

GEC NCIC

**Or This?** 

- Please enter maximum size of residual disease:
  - \_ \_.\_ cm

 Maximum size of residual disease (check one)

□ None

Microscopic only

- $\Box$  < 1 cm macroscopic
- □ 1-5 cm
- □ >5 cm

### Design Principle #6: Avoid Duplication

- Avoid capturing the same piece of data in more than one place on the CRF
- Inevitably values will differ and the error will take time and effort to correct

### Design principle #7: Avoid Free Text

- Avoid use of free text fields
- Free text is not analyzable without manual coding/interpretation
- Likewise, reduce use of vague variables such as "other" as a valid category

#### Free text not useful

#### Don't do this

 What adverse events did patient have (please type in):

Sore knees

**Tiredness** 

Peeling soles of feet

#### Do this

 Select adverse events experienced from drop down list

Arthralgia

Fatigue

Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia

# Design principle #8: Consider the user – especially if it will not be you!

- Provide instructions/definitions within the CRF to avoid misinterpretation, especially if there are multiple users
- Design with user in mind (e.g. order of data collection should flow appropriately)
- Be unambiguous (e.g. provide units expected)
- Be concise

# Con't

- Avoid abbrs., unf. terms (Avoid abbreviations and unfamiliar terms)
- Be clear about format
  - 12h vs. 24h clock
  - European vs. US date order (YYYY MMM DD or DD MM YYYY)
- Full vs. partial dates permitted
- Permit "Not Done (ND)" or "unknown (UNK)" as options where appropriate

#### **Case Report Forms to Database**

- <u>Paper CRF data</u> are entered into a database/ database management system (eg Oracle, Access) that will allow sorting, calculation, analysis of information
- <u>Electronic CRF data</u>: create the database as they are entered
- For example:
  - data checking for accuracy, logic, missing values
  - ongoing monitoring of patient eligibility, safety, protocol compliance
  - analysis of large amounts of data

### **Output from database**

- Need statistician/programmer help, here
- Be sure instructions/logic for calculation of output and sorting of variables is correct....
- Excel and other databases allow some analysis options as well



# Your projects....

### Phase I Radiosurgery + Sunitinib in Brain Metastases

#### **Caroline Chung**

# **Objectives**

#### **Primary Objective:**

 Determine the safety and maximum tolerated dose of Sunitinib when combined concurrently with SRS in patients with 1-3 brain metastases

#### Secondary Objectives:

- To capture any observed late toxicities that may be attributable to this combined treatment of Sunitinib and SRS.
- Determine time to Intracranial Local Progression, and Intracranial Distant Progression
- Determine Brain Progression-free Survival
- Determine the influence of Sunitinib on the requirement for supportive corticosteroids.
- Ouantify alterations in tumour perfusion parameters observed with dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) and DCE-CT
- Quantify normal tissue effects in brain tissue adjacent to metastatic lesions using MRI
- Assess serum biomarkers as potential prognostic or predictive factors
- To measure effect of SRS and sunitinib on neuropsychological function

#### **From objectives to variables**

Objective	What you will need to collect
Baseline info	Patient age (DOB), PS, underlying cancer ?prior therapy? Number/location brain mets Prior Rx for brain mets Neurological findings Imaging findings
Safety and MTD	Date registered on study Date start sunitinib Date of SRS Dose of sunitinib No. days of therapy Adverse events , grade and relation to therapy Stereotactic RS delivery
Late toxicities	Adverse events, grade, relationship post treatment until death
Time to Intracranial local and distant progression Brain PFS	Definition of local, distant progression in protocol Date of each Date of death Tumour measures to prove/confirm each

#### **From objectives to variables**

-
What you will need to collect
Concomitant steroid dose/dates (note: non-randomized trial so hard to interpret)
Need agreed methods /observer calculation of perfusion. Dates baseline/on study scans Perfusion parameters calculated for each time point
Need agreed methods /observer for normal effects Dates baseline/on study scans Normal effect parameters calculated for each time point
Identify each biomarker assay and output type (categorical/continuous) Database must contain data form baseline and on study values for each For prognostic: need all other variables entered into database likely to be prognostic For predictive: need control group?
Baseline and on study questionnaires Enter dates and values/answers for each question (have plan in place to handle missing data)

#### Genomic and proteomic assays subclassify TN breast tumour and predict outcome to chemotherapy

Maggie Cheung

#### **Objectives**

 Identify if genomic and proteomic signatures can help predict response to therapy in TN BC

(exploratory/discovery analysis; not a gene signature validation project)

#### **From objectives to variables**

Objective	What you will need to collect
Baseline clinical info	DOB PS HER2, ER, PR results ? Oncotype Dx results Date of diagnosis T size T location N M
Genomic data	At baseline and repeat sampling time points: Relative expression value for each gene tested for each patient
Therapy	Date/doses of each drug (neoadjuvant)
Outcome	Pathologic CRdate Clinical CR –date Clinical PR – date Etc.